PAML (Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood)

A program package by Ziheng Yang (Demonstration by Joseph Bielawski) 1. Three inference tasks

3 analytical tasks

task 1. parameter estimation (e.g., ω)

task 2. hypothesis testing

task 3. make predictions (e.g., sites having $\omega > 1$)

Concept map for tasks 1-3...



GOLD STANDARD

Combine evolutionary computation with experimental investigation

> THE GOOLD STANDARD

Rhodopsin evolution: Nocturnality of early mammals?



Software: both PAML and HyPhy are great choices for model-based inference!



This document is about downloading and compiling PAML and getting started. See the manual (<u>pamlDOC.pdf</u>) for more information about running programs in the package.

Downloading and Setting up PAML

http://abacus.gene.ucl.ac.uk/software/paml.html



https://veg.github.io/hyphy-site/



http://www.datamonkey.org/

Objective: To gain a deeper understanding of the basic principles of *model-based inference* in general.

We are NOT tyring to teach a particular software package.

Engauge with the concept questions. It is more important to understand what you are doing (compared to knowing a particular software package).

YOU must attempt to understand the relationship between your model and your data.

2. Brief introduction to PAML

programs in the package...

baseml	for nucleotide data (bases)
basemlg	continuous-gamma for nucleotides
codeml	for amino acid & codons data
evolver	simulation, tree distances
yn00	$d_{\rm N}$ and $d_{\rm S}$ by YN00
chi2	chi square table
pamp	parsimony (Yang and Kumar 1996)
mcmctree	Bayes MCMC tree (Yang & Rannala 1997). SLOW

Running PAML programs

- 1. Sequence data file
- 2. Tree file
- 3. Control file (*.ctl)

0.002510 1.4	20014			
0.000010 0.4	00000			
50 000000 000 0	00000			
30.00000 777.0	00000			
terating by ming2				
nitial: fx= 790.04	8189			
0.08351 1.42901				
1 h-m-p 0.0008 1.5	892 53.4319 +0	CYCYYCYY		
			-	
0.002851 0.	002852 0.00	0.00285	2	
/86./14/52 /86.	/146/1 /86./1	1 550050	5	
2.8509876-03	0.173050	1.552250	784 715025	
2.8510/70-03	0.173059	1 552254	786 716072	
2.851257e-03	0.173064	1.552261	786 714775	
2.851347e-03	0.173067	1.552265	786.715034	
2.851437e-03	0.173070	1.552269	786.714792	
2.851527e-03	0.173073	1.552273	786.714784	
2.851617e-03	0.173076	1.552277	786.714819	
2.851707e-03	0.173079	1.552281	786.714959	
2.851797e-03	0.173081	1.552285	786.714638	
2.851887e-03	0.173084	1.552289	786.714695	
2.851977e-03	0.173087	1.552292	786.714803	
2.852067e-03	0.173090	1.552296	786.714769	
2.852157e-03	0.173093	1.552300	786.714804	
2.852247e-03	0.173095	1.552304	786.714764	
2.852337e-03	0.173098	1.552308	786.715002	
2.852427e-03	0.173101	1.552312	/86./14815	
2.85251/e-03	0.1/3104	1.552316	/86./14900	
2.852607e-03	0.1/310/	1.552320	/80./14/54	
2.8526970-03	0.1/3110	1.552324	/80./14922	
796 71/671 10 0 0	pre oprimar ago /1 a/g	,		
2 h-m-n 0 0050 0 2	387 30 7213		9/2	
3 h-m-p 0.0000 0.2	081 142.5083	0/2	0/2	
4 h-m-p 0.0002 0.1	084 2.2204 ++	-C 786.707806	0 0.0035 76 0/2	

1. sequence file (modified "PHYLIP" format)

4 20 sequence_1 TCATT CTATC TATCG TGATG sequence_2 TCATT CTATC TATCG TGATG sequence_3 TCATT CTATC TATCG TGATG sequence_4 TCATT CTATC TATCG TGATG



4 20

sequence_1TCATTCTATCTATCGTGATG
sequence_2TCATTCTATCTATCGTGATG
sequence_3TCATTCTATCTATCGTGATG
sequence_4TCATTCTATCTATCGTGATG



2. tree file ("Newick" format)





This is an **<u>unrooted</u>** tree (basal node is degree = 3)

Running PAML programs

- 1. Sequence data file
- 2. Tree file
- 3. Control file (*.ctl)

	😭 jpbielawski — -b	ash — 98×39
0.083510 1.429014	h	
0.000010 0.400000		
50.000000 999.000000	1	
terating by ming2		
nitial: TX= /90.048189		
(= 0.08351 1.42901		
1 h-m-n 0.0008 1.5892	53.4319 +CCYCYYCYY	
1 1 1 1 2 0:0000 1:00/2	55.4517 (66/6//6//	
0.002851 0.00285	2 0.002853 0.0028	52
786.714752 786.71467	1 786.714928 786.7148	15
2.850987e-03 0.	173056 1.552250	786.714752
2.851077e-03 0.	173059 1.552254	786.715025
2.851167e-03 0.	173062 1.552257	786.714972
2.851257e-03 0.	173064 1.552261	786.714775
2.851347e-03 0.	173067 1.552265	786.715034
2.851437e-03 0.	173070 1.552269	786.714792
2.851527e-03 0.	173073 1.552273	786.714784
2.851617e-03 0.	173076 1.552277	786.714819
2.851707e-03 0.	173079 1.552281	786.714959
2.851/9/e-03 0.	1/3081 1.552285	/86./14638
2.85188/e-03 0.	1/3084 1.552289	/86./14695
2.8519776-03 0.	1/308/ 1.552292	786.714803
2.8521570-03 0	173090 1.552290	786 71/88/
2.8522676-03 0	173095 1.552306	786 714764
2.852337e-03 0.	173098 1.552308	786.715002
2.852427e-03 0.	173101 1.552312	786.714815
2.852517e-03 0.	173104 1.552316	786.714900
2.852607e-03 0.	173107 1.552320	786.714754
2.852697e-03 0.	173110 1.552324	786.714922
inesearch2 a4: multiple o.	ptima?	
786.714671 10 0.0029	41 0/2	
2 h-m-p 0.0050 0.2387	30.7213	0/2
3 h-m-p 0.0000 0.0081 1	42.5083 0/2	
4 h-m-p 0.0002 0.1084	2.2204 ++C 786.70780	6 0 0.0035 76 0/2
5 h-m-n 0.0160 8.0000	1.9177 +CCYCY	

3. codeml.ctl (the infamous "control file")

seqfile treefile outfile	=	seqfile tree.tx results	.txt * sequence data filename t * tree structure file name .txt * main result file name
noisy verbose runmode	=	9 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* 0,1,2,3,9: how much rubbish on the screen * 1:detailed output * 0:user defined tree
seqtype CodonFreq	=	1 2	* 1:codons * 0:equal, 1:F1X4, 2:F3X4, 3:F61
model	=	0	* 0:one omega ratio for all branches
NSsites	=	0	* 0:one omega ratio (M0 in Tables 2 and 4) * 1:neutral (M1 in Tables 2 and 4) * 2:selection (M2 in Tables 2 and 4) * 3:discrete (M3 in Tables 2 and 4) * 7:beta (M7 in Tables 2 and 4) * 8:beta&w (M8 in Tables 2 and 4)
icode	=	0	* 0:universal code
fix_kappa kappa	=	0 2	* 1:kappa fixed, 0:kappa to be estimated * initial or fixed kappa
fix_omega omega	=	0 5	* 1:omega fixed, 0:omega to be estimated * initial omega
*ncatG *ncatG	=	3 10	*set ncatG for models M3, M7, and M8!!! * # of site categories for M3 in Table 4 * # of site categories for M7 and M8 in Table 4

IMPORTANT NOTES:

1. Don't use exercise .ctl files for real data analysis (*they have been modified a little*).

2. Don't use your friends .ctl file for your analysis (even if he claims it's set up correctly)

3. The PAML lab

Statistics for Biology and Health

Rasmus Nielsen Editor

Statistical Methods in Molecular Evolution

Description Springer

 $\mathbf{5}$

Maximum Likelihood Methods for Detecting Adaptive Protein Evolution

Joseph P. Bielawski¹ and Ziheng Yang²

 ¹ Department of Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 4J1, Canada, j. bielawski@dal.ca
 ² Department of Biology, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom, z. yang@ucl.ac.uk

5.1 Introduction

Proteins evolve; the genes encoding them undergo mutation, and the evolutionary fate of the new mutation is determined by random genetic drift as well as purifying or positive (Darwinian) selection. The ability to analyze this process was realized in the late 1970s when techniques to measure genetic variation at the sequence level were developed. The arrival of molecular sequence data also intensified the debate concerning the relative importance of neutral drift and positive selection to the process of molecular evolution [17]. Ever since, there has been considerable interest in documenting cases of molecular adaptation. Despite a spectacular increase in the amount of available nucleotide sequence data since the 1970s, the number of such well-established cases is still relatively small [9, 38]. This is largely due to the difficulty in developing powerful statistical tests for adaptive molecular evolution. Although several powerful tests for nonneutral evolution have been developed [33], significant results under such tests do not necessarily indicate evolution by positive selection.

A powerful approach to detecting molecular evolution by positive selection derives from comparison of the relative rates of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions [22]. Synonymous mutations do not change the amino acid sequence; hence their substitution rate (d_S) is neutral with respect to selective pressure on the protein product of a gene. Nonsynonymous mutations do change the amino acid sequence, so their substitution rate (d_N) is a function of selective pressure on the protein. The ratio of these rates ($\omega = d_N/d_S$) will be less than 1, whereas if nonsynonymous mutations are advantageous, they will be fixed at a higher rate than synonymous mutations, and d_N/d_S will be greater than 1. A d_N/d_S ratio equal to one is consistent with neutral evolution.

● ● ● < 〉 🗉 🛛 🗊 🗐

awarnach.mathstat.dal.ca

0 1 0

Accessing the files

1. If you are doing the lab AT THE WORKSHOP: On the virtual machines we will be using in the 2022 workshop, there will be a symlink in your home directory named "moledata" that takes you to the course data files. There you will find directories for the various labs (e.g., MSAlab, revbayes, PamlLab, etc.).

To view the list of labs type:

ls ~/moledata

To view the contents of the Paml Lab type:

ls -1 ~/moledata/PamlLab

This will reveal the directories for each excercise:

ex1 ex2 ex3

ex4

The files are already on the virtual machine you are using. *However, you will want to run each exercise in a separate directory that you will create.* So, create a new directory. The name of the new directory is up to you, but pick something informative (e.g., ~/PAML_ex1).

To copy the files required for exercise 1 just type:

cp ~/moledata/PamlLab/ex1/* ~/PAML_ex1

Now you are ready to do exercise 1 within ~/PAML_ex1

2. If you are doing the lab INDEPNDENTLY of the workshop: You can do this lab by downloading all the necessary files from an archive here, or you can download the files individually for each exercise as you need them here.

Either way, it is still "best practice" to run each exercise in a separate working directory that you will create (e.g., PAML_ex1), and work with copies of the required files within that directory.



C

Re-naming files: 2 important points...

1. For each exercise you must **remove the "exN_" prefix** from the control files

for example: cp ex1_codem1.ctl codem1.ctl

2. PAML will overwrite its own out-files without warning you!!! Rename any results files you want to save!!!

Step-by-step protocols

results "help-files"

Exercise 1 help file: This file contains an annotated <u>portion</u> of the results output by codeml for a maximum likelihood analysis of a pair of sequences. The box contains the portion of the results file that is most relevant to completing exercise 1. These lines of the output can be found at the end of the results file.



● ● < > □ □ ≡ awarnach.mathstat.dal.ca Ċ ● ♠ ↓

Exercise 1

The objective of this activity is to use CODEML to evaluate the likelihood of the *GstD1* sequences for a variety of ω values. Plot log-likelihood scores against the values of ω and determine the maximum likelihood estimate of ω . Check your finding by running CODEML's hill-climbing algorithm.



- Find the input files for Exercise 1 (ex1_codeml.ctl, seqfile.txt) and familiarize yourself with them. Pay close attention to the contents of the modified control file called ex1_codeml.ctl.
- Remember to create a directory where you want your results to go, and place all your files within it. Now
 open a terminal, move to the directory that contains your files. When you are ready to run CODEML,
 delete the ex1_ prefix (the control file must be called codeml.ctl). Now you can run CODEML.
- Familiarize yourself with the results (see annotations in <u>ex1_HelpFile.pdf</u>). If you have not edited the control file the results will be written to a file called **results.txt**. Identify the line within the results file that gives the likelihood score for the example dataset.
- 4. Now change and save the control file and re-run CODEML for a different fixed value of ω. The control file "quick guide" might be helpful here (<u>quick guide</u>). The objective is to compute the likelihood of the example dataset given a fixed value of ω. Change the control file as follows:
 - Change the name of your result file (via outfile= in the control file) or you will overwrite your previous results!

Let's try something a little different in 2024...

• we will do exercises 1, 3 & 4 (including step 8) together

• we will SKIP exercise 2



Work in teams and discuss your progress!!!

ML estimation of the $d_N/d_S(\omega)$ "by hand" for GstD1





exercise 1: you will work THIS "knob"

seafile = seafi	le txt * sequence data filename
outfile = resul	ts 0.001.txt * main result file name [CHANGE THIS]
noisy = 9	* 0,1,2,3,9: how much rubbish on the screen
verbose = 1	* 1:detailed output
runmode = -2	* -2:pairwise
	-
seqtype = 1	* 1:codons
CodonFreq = 3	* 0:equal, 1:F1X4, 2:F3X4, 3:F61
model = 0	*
NSsites = 0	*
icode = 0	* 0:universal code
fix_kappa = 0	* 1:kappa fixed, 0:kappa to be estimated
kappa = 2	* initial or fixed kappa
fix_omega = 1	* 1:omega fixed, 0:omega to be estimated
omega = 0.001	* 1 st fixed omega value [CHANGE THIS]
*NOTEs: alterr	ate fixed omega values
*omega = 0.005	* 2 nd fixed value
*omega = 0.01	* 3 rd fixed value
*omega = 0.05	* 4 th fixed value
*omega = 0.10	* 5 th fixed value
*omega = 0.20	* 6 th fixed value
*omega = 0.40	* 7 th fixed value
*omega = 0.80	* 8 th fixed value
*omega = 1.60	* 9 th fixed value
*omega = 2.00	* 10 th fixed value

plot: likelihood score vs. omega (log scale)



seqfile =	seqfile	.txt * sequence data filename	
<pre>outfile =</pre>	results	_0.001.txt * main result file name [CHANGE THIS]
noisy =	9	* 0,1,2,3,9: how much rubbish on the screen	
verbose =	1	* 1:detailed output	
runmode =	-2	* -2:pairwise	
seqtype =	1	* 1:codons	
CodonFreq =	3 .	* 0:equal, 1:F1X4, 2:F3X4, 3:F61	
model =	0 ;	*	
NSsites =	0 ;	*	
icode =	0 ;	* O:universal code	
fix_kappa =	0 ;	* 1:kappa fixed, 0:kappa to be estimated	
kappa =	2	* initial or fixed kappa	
fix_omega =	1	* 1:omega fixed, 0:omega to be estimated	
omega =	0.001	* 1st fixed omega value [CHANCE THIS]	_
		i lixed onega varue [ennion inio]	
*NOTEs: a	alternate	e fixed omega values	
*NOTEs: a * omega =	alternate	e fixed omega values * 2 nd fixed value	
*NOTEs: a * omega = * omega =	alternate 0.005	e fixed omega values * 2 nd fixed value * 3 rd fixed value	
*NOTEs: a *omega = *omega = *omega =	alternate 0.005 0.01	<pre>e fixed omega values * 2nd fixed value * 3rd fixed value * 4th fixed value</pre>	
*NOTEs: a *omega = *omega = *omega = *omega =	alternate 0.005 0.01 0.05	<pre>e fixed omega values * 2nd fixed value * 3rd fixed value * 4th fixed value * 5th fixed value</pre>	
*NOTEs: a *omega = *omega = *omega = *omega = *omega =	alternate 0.005 0.01 0.05 0.10	<pre>e fixed omega values * 2nd fixed value * 3rd fixed value * 4th fixed value * 5th fixed value * 6th fixed value</pre>	
*NOTEs: a *omega = *omega = *omega = *omega = *omega = *omega =	alternate 0.005 0.01 0.05 0.10 0.20	<pre>e fixed omega values * 2nd fixed value * 3rd fixed value * 4th fixed value * 5th fixed value * 6th fixed value * 7th fixed value</pre>	
*NOTEs: a *omega = *omega = *omega = *omega = *omega = *omega = *omega =	alternate 0.005 0.01 0.05 0.10 0.20 0.40	<pre>e fixed omega values * 2nd fixed value * 3rd fixed value * 4th fixed value * 5th fixed value * 6th fixed value * 7th fixed value * 8th fixed value</pre>	

*omega = 2.00 * 10th fixed value

When you are done...

set...

fix_omega = 0 omega = 10

... now codeml will estimate the MLE for omega

- 1. How close was your "by-hand" estimate of the MLE compared to the one produced by the codeml optimization algorithm?
- 2. Does the area under your likelihood curve sum to 1.0?
- 3. Can you explain, *in non-technical language*, what the MLE represents and why you would want to estimate it?

Test hypotheses about molecular evolution of *Ldh* gene family



Each one represents a different "branch model" $H_1: \omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} = \omega_{C1} \neq \omega_{C0}$ $H_2: \omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} \neq \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$ $H_3: \omega_{A0} \neq \omega_{A1} \neq \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$



H₀: $\omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} = \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$ Null model

H₁: $\omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} = \omega_{C1} \neq \omega_{C0}$ H₂: $\omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} \neq \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$ H₃: $\omega_{A0} \neq \omega_{A1} \neq \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$





exercise 3:



H₀: $\omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} = \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$ H₁: $\omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} = \omega_{C1} \neq \omega_{C0}$ H₂: $\omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} \neq \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$ H₃: $\omega_{A0} \neq \omega_{A1} \neq \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$

Long-term shift: 1-clade model

exercise 3:



H₀: $\omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} = \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$ H₁: $\omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} = \omega_{C1} \neq \omega_{C0}$ H₂: $\omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} \neq \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$ H₃: $\omega_{A0} \neq \omega_{A1} \neq \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$

Long-term shift: 2-clade model

seqfile = seqfile.txt * sequence data filename treefile = tree.H0.txt * tree structure file name [CHANGE THIS] outfile = results.txt * main result file name * 0,1,2,3,9: how much rubbish on the screen noisv = 9verbose = 1* 1:detailed output runmode = 0* 0:user defined tree * 1:codons seqtype = 1CodonFreg = 2* 0:equal, 1:F1X4, 2:F3X4, 3:F61 model = 0* 0:one omega ratio for all branches [FOR MODEL H0] * 1:separate omega for each branch * 2:user specified dN/dS ratios for branches [FOR MODELS H1-H3] * NSsites = 0icode = 0* 0:universal code fix kappa = 0* 1:kappa fixed, 0:kappa to be estimated kappa = 2 * initial or fixed kappa fix omega = 0* 1:omega fixed, 0:omega to be estimated omega = 0.2* initial omega *H₀ in Table 3: *model = 0*(X02152Hom, U07178Sus, (M22585rab, ((NM017025Rat, U13687Mus), Null model *(((AF070995C,(X04752Mus,U07177Rat)),(U95378Sus,U13680Hom)),(X538280G1, * U284100G2))))); *H₁ in Table 3: *model = 2*(X02152Hom,U07178Sus,(M22585rab,((NM017025Rat,U13687Mus),(((AF070995C, **Episodic model** *(X04752Mus,U07177Rat)),(U95378Sus,U13680Hom))#1,(X538280G1,U284100G2)) *))); *H₂ in Table 3: *model = 2Long-term shift: 1-clade model * (X02152Hom, U07178Sus, (M22585rab, ((NM017025Rat, U13687Mus), (((AF070995C * #1, (X04752Mus #1, U07177Rat #1) #1, (U95378Sus #1, U13680Hom #1) * #1)#1, (X538280G1, U284100G2)))); *H₃ in Table 3: *model = 2* (X02152Hom, U07178Sus, (M22585rab, ((NM017025Rat, U13687Mus), (((AF070995C Long-term shift: 2-clade model * #1, (X04752Mus #1, U07177Rat #1)#1)#1, (U95378Sus #1, U13680Hom #1)

* **#1**) **#1**, (X538280G1 **#2**, U284100G2 **#2**) **#2**))));

seqfile treefile outfile	= =	<pre>seqfile.txt * sequence data filename tree.H0.txt * tree structure file name [CHANGE THIS] results.txt * main result file name</pre>	
noisy verbose runmode	=	<pre>* 0,1,2,3,9: how much rubbish on the screen * 1:detailed output * 0:user defined tree</pre>	
seqtype CodonFreq	=	* 1:codons 2 * 0:equal, 1:F1X4, 2:F3X4, 3:F61	
model	=	<pre>> * 0:one omega ratio for all branches [FOR MODEL H0] * 1:separate omega for each branch * 2:user specified dN/dS ratios for branches [FOR MODELS]</pre>	Н1-Н3]
NSsites	=) *	
icode	=) * 0:universal code	
fix_kappa kappa	=	<pre>* 1:kappa fixed, 0:kappa to be estimated * initial or fixed kappa</pre>	
fix_omega omega	=	<pre>* 1:omega fixed, 0:omega to be estimated 0.2 * initial omega</pre>	

$^{\ast}\textbf{H}_{0}$ in Table 3:

*model = 0

- * (X02152Hom, U07178Sus, (M22585rab, ((NM017025Rat, U13687Mus),
- *(((AF070995C,(X04752Mus,U07177Rat)),(U95378Sus,U13680Hom)),(X538280G1,
- * U284100G2)))));

 $*H_1$ in Table 3:

*model = 2

* (X02152Hom, U07178Sus, (M22585rab, ((NM017025Rat, U13687Mus), (((AF070995C, * (X04752Mus, U07177Rat)), (U95378Sus, U13680Hom))#1, (X538280G1, U284100G2)) *)));

 $*H_2$ in Table 3:

*model = 2

- * (X02152Hom, U07178Sus, (M22585rab, ((NM017025Rat, U13687Mus), (((AF070995C
- * **#1**, (X04752Mus **#1**, U07177Rat **#1**) **#1**, (U95378Sus **#1**, U13680Hom **#1**)
- * **#1)#1,**(X538280G1,U284100G2)))));

***H**₃ in Table 3:

*model = 2

- * (X02152Hom,U07178Sus,(M22585rab,((NM017025Rat,U13687Mus),(((AF070995C
- * **#1**, (X04752Mus **#1**, U07177Rat **#1**) **#1**, (U95378Sus **#1**, U13680Hom **#1**)
- * **#1)#1,**(X538280G1 **#2,**U284100G2 **#2)#2))));**



NOTE: These hypotheses ($H_0 \rightarrow H_3$) are actually specified in the four separate tree files!!!

Complete this table **AND Interpret your findings**

Table E3: Parameter estimates under models of variable *ω* ratios among lineages and LRTs of their fit to the *Ldh-A* and *Ldh-C* gene family.

Models	$\omega_{\rm A0}$	$\omega_{\rm A1}$	<i>W</i> C1	ω _{C0}	ł	LRT
H ₀ : $\omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} = \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$?	$= \omega_{A.0}$	= <i>w</i> _{A.0}	= <i>w</i> _{A.0}	?	na
H ₁ : $\omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} = \omega_{C1} \neq \omega_{C0}$?	$= \omega_{A.0}$	= <i>w</i> _{A.0}	?	?	?
H ₂ : $\omega_{A0} = \omega_{A1} \neq \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$?	$= \omega_{A.0}$?	$= \omega_{\text{C.1}}$?	?
H ₃ : $\omega_{A0} \neq \omega_{A1} \neq \omega_{C1} = \omega_{C0}$?	?	?	= <i>w</i> _{C.1}	?	?

The topology and branch specific ω ratios are presented in Figure 5. H₀ v H₁: df = 1 H₀ v H₂: df = 1 H₂ v H₃: df = 1

When you interpret your results, THINK about why the involved models are nested.

exercise 3 concept questions:

- 1. Can you explain the biological interpretation of all 4 models (hypotheses) of *Ldh* gene-family evolution?
- 2. Can you explain how these models are nested. Why is nesting a concern here? Do you understand the df for the relevant LRTs?
- 3. What evolutionary scenario is the best explanation of *Ldh* gene-family evolution?
- 4. Is there evidence of positive selection during the history of *Ldh* evolution? Are there any scenarios in which *Ldh* could have evolved by positive selection that would be undetectable by these LRTs?

Exercise 4:

Testing for adaptive evolution in the *nef* gene of human HIV-2



<pre>seqfile = seqfile.txt</pre>	* sequence data filename		
<pre>* treefile = treefile_M0.txt * treefile = treefile_M1.txt * treefile = treefile_M2.txt * treefile = treefile_M3.txt * treefile = treefile_M7.txt * treefile = treefile_M8.txt</pre>	<pre>* SET THIS for tree file with ML branch length * SET THIS for tree file with ML branch length * SET THIS for tree file with ML branch length * SET THIS for tree file with ML branch length * SET THIS for tree file with ML branch length * SET THIS for tree file with ML branch length</pre>	hs under M0 hs under M1 hs under M2 hs under M3 hs under M7 hs under M8	
outfile = results tyt	* main result file name		
		These trees cont	ain pre-
werbose = 1	* detailed output	computed MLEs	for branch
runmode = 0	* user defined tree	lengths to speed	d the
secture = 1	* codons	analyses.	
CodonFreq = 2	* F3X4 for codon ferguencies	/	
model = 0	* one omega ratio for all branches	You will want to	estimate
model	one omega latio for all stanones	all the branch le	poths via
* NSsites = 0	* SET THIS for MO		
* NSsites = 1	* SET THIS for M1	ML when you un	
* NSsites = 2	* SET THIS for M2	own daid!	
* NSsites = 3	* SET THIS for M3		
* NSsites = 7	* SET THIS for M7		
* NSsites = 8	* SET THIS for M8		
			De careful , there is a let to
icode = 0	* universal code		De careful: there is a lot to
fix_kappa = 1	* kappa fixed		change in this codeml.ctl file
* kappa = 4.43491	* SET THIS to fix kappa at MLE under MO		fan as de mas de l
* kappa = 4.39117	* SET THIS to fix kappa at MLE under M1		for each model.
* kappa = 5.08964	* SET THIS to fix kappa at MLE under M2		
* kappa = 4.89033	* SET THIS to fix kappa at MLE under M3		
* kappa = 4.22750	* SET THIS to fix kappa at MLE under M7		It is very easy to miss
* kappa = 4.87827	* SET THIS to fix kappa at MLE under M8		somothing or make a mistake
			something, of make a mistake
fix_omega = 0	* omega to be estimated		
omega = 5	* initial omega		The models will run quick so
			The models will full quick, so
* ncatG = 3	* SET THIS for 3 site categories under M3		it is also easy to check/fix any
* ncatG = 10	* SET THIS for 10 of site categories under M7	and M8	
			mistakes.
<pre>tix_blength = 2</pre>	* fixed branch lengths from tree file		

Complete this table **AND Interpret your findings**

Nested model pairs	$d_{\rm N}/d_{\rm S}{}^b$	Parameter estimates ^c	\mathbf{PSS}^{d}	ł
M0: one-ratio $(1)^a$?	$\omega = ?$	N.A.	?
M3: discrete (5)	?	$p_{0,} = ?, p_{1,} = ?, (p_2 = ?)$? (?)	?
		$\omega_0 = ?, \ \omega_1 = ?, \ \omega_2 = ?$		
M1a: neutral (2)	?	$p_0 = ?, (p_1 = ?)$	N.A.	?
		$\omega_0 = ?, (\omega_1 = 1)$		
M2a: selection (4)	?	$p_0 = ?, p_1 = ?, (p_2 = ?)$? (?)	?
		$\omega_0 = ?, (\omega_1 = 1), \omega_2 = ?$		
M7: beta (2)	?	p = ?, q = ?	N.A.	?
M8: beta& ω (4)	?	$p_0 = ? (p_1 = ?)$? (?)	?
		$p = ?, q = ?, \omega = ?$		

Table E4: Parameter estimates and likelihood scores under models of variable *ω* ratios among sites for HIV-2 *nef* genes.

^{*a*} The number after the model code, in parentheses, is the number of free parameters in the ω distribution.

^{*b*} This d_N/d_S ratio is an average over all sites in the HIV-2 *nef* gene alignment.

^c Parameters in parentheses are not free parameters.

^{*d*} PSS is the number of positive selection sites (NEB). The first number is the PSS with posterior probabilities > 50%. The second number (in parentheses) is the PSS with posterior probabilities > 95%.

Table E4: Parameter estimates and likelihood scores under mod sites for HIV-2 *nef* genes.

Nested model pairs	$d_{\rm N}/d_{\rm S}^{b}$	Parameter estimat	es ^c
M0: one-ratio $(1)^{a}$?	<i>ω</i> = ?	H ₀
M3: discrete (5)	?	$p_{0,} = ?, p_{1,} = ?, (p_2 = ?)$	
		$\omega_0 = ?, \ \omega_1 = ?, \ \omega_2 = ?$	
M1a: neutral (2)	?	$p_0 = ?, (p_1 = ?)$	
		$\omega_0 = ?, (\omega_1 = 1)$	
M2a: selection (4)	?	$p_0 = ?, p_1 = ?, (p_2 = ?)$	
		$\omega_0 = ?, (\omega_1 = 1), \omega_2 = ?$	
M7: beta (2)	?	<i>p</i> = ?, <i>q</i> = ?	
M8: beta & ω (4)	?	$p_0 = ? (p_1 = ?)$	H _a
		$p = ?, q = ?, \omega = ?$	

^{*a*} The number after the model code, in parentheses, is the numbe distribution.

^{*b*} This d_N/d_S ratio is an average over all sites in the HIV-2 *nef* ger ^{*c*} Parameters in parentheses are not free parameters.

^{*d*} PSS is the number of positive selection sites (NEB). The first nuprobabilities > 50%. The second number (in parentheses) is the 195%.



Concept map for tasks 1-3...



exercise 4: use the "**rst file**" for model **M3** to produce a plot like this for the *nef* gene

0.1

0

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1



NOTE: This is **NOT** the distribution for the nef gene

exercise 4 concept questions:

Try to synthesize all your results and attempt a biological interpretation of the sort that you would want to publish within an actual research paper. The following two general questions should help get you going. I strongly encourage you to do this last step in collaboration with other workshop students; talk it through!

- 1. What *biological conclusions* are well-supported by these data?
- 2. What aspects of the results can you interpret according your prior biological knowledge of this, or similar, systems?

exercise 4, step 8...

- 1. re-run M0 (note time)
- 2. change .ctl file for MO: set **fix_blength = 0**
- 3. run M0 and estimate branch lengths (note time)

step 8 concept questions:

1. What is the effect of tree size on ML based hypothesis testing?

2. Do you think branch lengths have a big impact on the likelihood of the data? How about hypothesis testing?

3. Can you think of a way to use **fix_blength = 2** to check?